

# Soil nutrient processes and not plant physiological properties are the main drivers of post-drought yield outperformance in *L. perenne*

Marie-Louise Schärer<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Lüscher<sup>2</sup>, Lucia Fuchslueger<sup>3</sup>, Andreas Richter<sup>3</sup>, Ansgar Kahmen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences – Botany, University of Basel, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> Forage Production and Grassland Systems, Agroscope Reckenholz, Switzerland <sup>3</sup> Centre for Microbiology and Environmental Systems Science, University of Vienna, Austria

### Background

production. drought events severely Reocurring restrict forage However, experimentally drought stressed temperate forage grasslands have recently been reported to recover quickly after drought stress and re-wetting (DRW) be more even and to



productive after drought than nondrought stressed control plots (see Fig. 1) (Hofer et al., 2017; Hahn et al., 2021).



**Fig. 1** Rainout shelters of 3 x 5 m were placed on used to simulate a severe summer drought of 2 months. Plots were re-wetted immediately after shelter removal.

## **Objectives & Methods**

To disentangle plant physiological from soil nutrient cycling effects on yield outperformance after DRW, we tested the effects of DRW on...

- forage yield under different N levels
- plant physiology (leaflength, SLA, leaf colour)
- soil nutrient availability

For this, a severe summer drought of 2 months was simulated by placing rainout shelters on an intensively managed *L. perenne* stock (Fig. 1). Immediately after shelter removal, sub-plots were taken from the field site and soils and plants were transplanted according to Fig. 3.



## Conclusions

Although DRW had an effect on the physiology of *L. perenne* by increasing its initial leaf growth rates and SLA, this did not result in increased DMY 1 month after DRW. In contrast, DRW soils induced strongly increased L. perenne yields (on average +25%) compared to control soils. Looking at soil nutrients, higher plant available N concentrations were identified as a main factor responsible for the observed yield outperformance of DRW stocks, likely induced by higher N mineralization rates. dependency However, this seems to decrease with increasing fertilizer application.

**Fig. 3** Transplantation of control plants (green) and DRW plants (brown) into control soil (blue) and DRW soil (brown). Both, control and DRW soil was rewetted after finishing the transplantation.

#### **Results & Discussion**



Fig. 4 a) Means ± SE of dry matter yields of control (filled bars) and DRW soil one month after transplantation and rewetting. Gray bars represent no fertilization

during drought stress, orange bars represent mineral N application during drought stress (35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). **b)** Means  $\pm$  SE of leaf growth rates of control & DRW plants on control & DRW soil between 7 and 14 days after transplantation and rewetting. **c)** Means  $\pm$  SE of plant available nitrogen in control (saturated bars) and DRW soil (empty bars) during the first month after DRW. Measurements were performed using PRS (Plant Root Simulator) ion-exchange membranes. **d)** Correlation between relative change in DMY (dry matter yield) and relative change in plant available N (obtained by PRS ion-exchange membranes) of the 1<sup>st</sup> recovery regrowth on the field site. Gray: plots not fertilized during drought. Orange: plots minerally fertilized during drought (35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>).



Irrespective of the soil's treatment, **DRW plants show:** 

- Lower dry matter yield (Fig. 4 a)
- Higher initial leaf growth rates (Fig. 4 b)
- And higher SLA and leaf red & green shares

Irrespective of the plants' treatment, DRW soils show:

- Increased plant N availability (Fig. 4 c)
- Relative yield outperformance correlated with plant N availability, but only when plots were not N-fertilized (Fig. 4 d)